

Fuzzy Surfaces of Genus Zero

J. Madore

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies*
Université de Paris-Sud, Bât. 211, F-91405 Orsay

July, 1997

Abstract

A fuzzy version of the ordinary round 2-sphere has been constructed with an invariant curvature. We here consider linear connections on arbitrary fuzzy surfaces of genus zero. We shall find as before that they are more or less rigidly dependent on the differential calculus used but that a large number of the latter can be constructed which are not covariant under the action of the rotation group. For technical reasons we have been forced to limit our considerations to fuzzy surfaces which are small perturbations of the fuzzy sphere.

1 Introduction

A fuzzy version of the ordinary round 2-dimensional sphere S^2 has been given (Madore 1992, Madore & Grosse 1992, Grosse & Prešnajder 1993, Grosse, Klimčík & Prešnajder 1997b, Carow-Watamura & Watamura 1997) which uses a noncommutative geometry based on a filtration of the algebra M_n of $n \times n$ complex matrices and a differential calculus based on a set of derivations which form the irreducible representation of dimension n of the Lie algebra of SU_2 . The rotation group acts on this fuzzy sphere and the only torsion-free metric connection on it has an invariant ‘Gaussian’ curvature. Recently Dimakis & Madore (1996) have proposed a method of constructing an arbitrary number of differential calculi over a given noncommutative associative algebra. We shall use this method to construct linear connections on an arbitrary fuzzy surface Σ of genus zero. We shall find as before that the connections are more or less rigidly dependent on the differential calculus but that a large number of the latter can be constructed associated to metrics which are not covariant under the action of the rotation group. For technical reasons we have been forced to limit our considerations to fuzzy surfaces which are small perturbations of the fuzzy sphere.

There seems to be an intimate connection between the commutation relations of an algebra and the linear curvature which it can support. Evidence of this is to be found in previous articles (Madore 1992, Dimakis & Madore 1996, Madore & Mourad 1996, Madore 1996, 1997). To a certain extent the present results furnish another example.

In Section 2 we recall the general method for constructing differential calculi based on a set of derivations which do not necessarily close to form a Lie algebra and we briefly recall the definition of a linear connection which we shall use. Our calculations are based on the noncommutative equivalent of the moving-frame formalism. In Section 3 we construct the frames and in Section 4 we calculate the associated torsion-free metric connections.

2 General Formalism

Suppose one is given an M_n -bimodule $\Omega^1(M_n)$ and an application

$$M_n \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1(M_n) \quad (2.1)$$

of M_n into $\Omega^1(M_n)$. Then there is a construction (Connes 1994, Dimakis & Madore 1996, Madore & Mourad 1996) which yields a differential calculus $\Omega^*(M_n)$ over M_n which has $\Omega^1(M_n)$ as module of 1-forms. We construct (2.1) using a set of derivations (Dubois-Violette 1988, Dubois-Violette *et al.* 1989, Dimakis & Madore 1996). Let λ_a , $1 \leq a \leq 3$, be a set of linearly independent anti-hermitian elements of M_n such that only matrices proportional to the identity commute with it. The $*$ -algebra generated by the λ_a is equal then to M_n . Consider the derivations $e_a = \text{ad } \lambda_a$. In order for them to have the correct dimensions one must introduce a mass parameter μ and replace λ_a by $\mu\lambda_a$. We shall set $\mu = 1$. Define the map (2.1) by

$$df(e_a) = e_a f. \quad (2.2)$$

Under certain conditions, given below, $\Omega^1(M_n)$ has a basis θ^a dual to the derivations,

$$\theta^a(e_b) = \delta_b^a, \quad (2.3)$$

a basis which commutes therefore with the elements f of M_n :

$$f\theta^a = \theta^a f. \quad (2.4)$$

In such cases $\Omega^1(M_n)$ is free as a left or right module and can be identified with the direct sum of 3 copies of M_n :

$$\Omega^1(M_n) = M_n \oplus M_n \oplus M_n. \quad (2.5)$$

The $\theta^a \otimes \theta^b$ form a basis for $\Omega^1(M_n) \otimes_{M_n} \Omega^1(M_n)$. The module $\Omega^2(M_n)$ of 2-forms can be identified as a submodule of $\Omega^1(M_n) \otimes_{M_n} \Omega^1(M_n)$ and the projection onto the 2-forms can be written in the form

$$\pi(\theta^a \otimes \theta^b) = P^{ab}_{cd} \theta^c \otimes \theta^d \quad (2.6)$$

where the P^{ab}_{cd} are complex numbers which satisfy

$$P^{ab}_{ef} P^{ef}_{cd} = P^{ab}_{cd}. \quad (2.7)$$

The product $\theta^a \theta^b$ satisfies therefore

$$\theta^a \theta^b = P^{ab}_{cd} \theta^c \theta^d. \quad (2.8)$$

If the θ^a exist then it can be shown (Dimakis & Madore 1996, Madore & Mourad 1996) that the λ_a must satisfy the equation

$$2\lambda_c \lambda_d P^{cd}_{ab} - \lambda_c F^c_{ab} - K_{ab} = 0 \quad (2.9)$$

with F^c_{ab} and K_{ab} complex numbers.

The structure elements C^a_{bc} are defined by the equation

$$d\theta^a = -\frac{1}{2} C^a_{bc} \theta^b \theta^c. \quad (2.10)$$

They are related to the coefficients of (2.9) by

$$C^a_{bc} = F^a_{bc} - 2\lambda_d P^{(da)}_{bc}. \quad (2.11)$$

The fuzzy sphere has a differential calculus defined by choosing the λ_a to be the irreducible n -dimensional representation of the Lie algebra of SU_2 . In this case

$$P^{ab}_{cd} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta^a_c \delta^b_d - \delta^b_c \delta^a_d), \quad (2.12)$$

the $C^a_{bc} = F^a_{bc}$ are the SU_2 structure constants and the K_{bc} vanish.

To discuss the commutative limit it is convenient to change the normalization of the generators λ_a . We introduce the parameter $\hbar$ with the dimensions of $(\text{length})^2$ and define ‘coordinates’ x_a by

$$x_a = i\hbar \lambda_a. \quad (2.13)$$

The x_a satisfy therefore the commutation relations

$$[x_a, x_b] = i\hbar x_c C^c_{ab}. \quad (2.14)$$

We choose the λ_a so that $C_{abc} = r^{-1} \epsilon_{abc}$ where r is a length parameter. These structure constants are in general independent from the structure elements introduced in (2.10) but in the case of the fuzzy sphere they are equal. Introduce the SU_2 -Casimir metric

g_{ab} . The matrix $g^{ab}x_ax_b$ is the Casimir operator. We choose $\hbar$ so that $g^{ab}x_ax_b = r^2$. We have then from (2.14)

$$4r^4 = (n^2 - 1)\hbar^2. \quad (2.15)$$

The commutative limit is the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. Were we considering a noncommutative model of space-time then we would be tempted to identify $\hbar$ with the inverse of the square of the Planck mass, $\hbar = \mu_P^{-2}$, and consider space-time as fundamentally non-commutative in the presence of gravity.

The differential calculus on the fuzzy sphere has (Madore 1992) a basis

$$\theta^a = -C^a_{bc}x^b dx^c - i\hbar r^{-2}\theta x^a. \quad (2.16)$$

The 1-form θ can be written

$$\theta = i\hbar^{-1}x_a\theta^a = r^2\hbar^{-2}x_adx^a. \quad (2.17)$$

In the commutative limit θ diverges but $\hbar\theta \rightarrow r^2A$ where A is the Dirac-monopole potential of unit magnetic charge. The commutative limit of the frame θ^a is a moving frame on a U_1 -bundle over S^2 . In this case it is not the frame bundle. A standard Kaluza-Klein reduction gives rise to the potential A as well as the geometry of the sphere.

The formalism which we shall use resembles that which can be used to describe a manifold V of dimension d which is defined by its metric embedding in a flat euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. If there are no topological complications then generically $n = d(d+1)/2$ since this is the number of independent components of a local metric on V . Let x^a be the coordinates of the embedding space and y^α local coordinates of V . Then V is defined locally by equations of the form $x^a = x^a(y^\alpha)$. Let g_{ab} be the components of the flat metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The local components $h_{\alpha\beta}$ of the induced metric on V are given by

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = g_{ab} \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial y^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial y^\beta}$$

Let $x'^a = x^a + h^a(x^b)$ be a variation of the coordinates of the embedding space $\mathbb{R}^n$. The most general variation $h'_{\alpha\beta}$ of $h_{\alpha\beta}$ can be obtained by the induced variation $g'_{ab} = g_{ab} - \partial_{(a}h_{b)}$ of g_{ab} :

$$h'_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_{(a}h_{b)} \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial y^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial y^\beta}. \quad (2.18)$$

If θ^a is a moving frame on $\mathbb{R}^n$ then the new metric g' is given by

$$g'(\theta^a \otimes \theta^b) = g^{ab} + \partial^{(a}h^{b)}.$$

A moving frame for g' is given then by $\theta'^a = (\delta^a_b + \tilde{\Lambda}^a_b)\theta^b$ with

$$\tilde{\Lambda}^a_b = -\partial_b h^a. \quad (2.19)$$

The algebra $\mathcal{C}(V)$ of smooth functions on V can be identified with a quotient of the algebra of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the differential calculus $\Omega^*(V)$ can be identified with a quotient of the calculus $\Omega^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is convenient to designate by dx^α the element dx^a of $\Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ restricted to V . Then $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$, but the differentials dx^α satisfies $n - d$ relations. The projection of $\Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $\Omega^1(V)$ can be written in the form

$$dx^\alpha = \pi^\alpha_a dx^a. \quad (2.20)$$

The moving frame θ^a can be chosen such that $\pi_a^\alpha \theta^a$ is a moving frame on V for the induced metric with components $h_{\alpha\beta}$. The transformation to a moving frame for the perturbed metric $h'_{\alpha\beta}$ is given therefore by

$$\pi_a^\alpha (\delta_b^a + \tilde{\Lambda}_b^a) = \pi_b^\alpha - \pi_a^\alpha \partial_b h^a. \quad (2.21)$$

There is also a projection of the parallelizable Hopf bundle $\mathcal{P}$ onto Σ . Therefore $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ can be identified as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P})$ and the differential calculus $\Omega^*(\Sigma)$ can be identified with a differential subalgebra of the calculus $\Omega^*(\mathcal{P})$. We shall use this identification also when considering the differential calculus over Σ . In general there is always a projection of the (parallelizable) frame bundle $\mathcal{L}$ of a manifold V onto V itself and so $\Omega^*(V)$ can be considered as a subalgebra of a differential calculus $\Omega^*(\mathcal{L})$ which has a free module of 1-forms.

3 Perturbations of the calculus

We are interested in perturbations of the fuzzy sphere which could be considered as noncommutative versions of a topological sphere which is not invariant under the action of the rotation group. We first introduce a perturbation $\Omega'^*(M_n)$ of the differential calculus $\Omega^*(M_n)$ of the fuzzy sphere. We shall require that the perturbed differential calculus be based on derivations. We introduce then

$$e'_a = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \text{ad } x'_a$$

with

$$x'_a = x_a + h_a \quad (3.1)$$

and where h_a is a set of 3 elements of M_n with $|h_a| \ll r$. If we write the perturbed version of (2.9) as

$$2x'_c x'_d P'^{cd}_{ab} - i\hbar x'_c F'^c_{ab} + \hbar^2 K'_{ab} = 0$$

and expand the coefficients as

$$P'^{cd}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_a^c \delta_b^d - \delta_a^d \delta_b^c) + P^{cd}_{(1)ab}, \quad F'^c_{ab} = C^c_{ab} + F^c_{(1)ab}, \quad K'_{ab} = K_{(1)ab}$$

we find the equation

$$[x_a, h_b] - [x_b, h_a] + 2x_c x_d P^{cd}_{(1)ab} - i\hbar h_c C^c_{ab} - i\hbar x_c F^c_{(1)ab} + \hbar^2 K_{(1)ab} = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

for the perturbations. We can suppose that $P^{cd}_{(1)ab}$ is symmetric in the first two indices since an antisymmetric part can be absorbed in a redefinition of the fifth term. It must be then antisymmetric in the last two if P'^{cd}_{ab} is to be a projector. The $P^{cd}_{(1)ab}$ could be also chosen to be trace-free in the first two indices since the trace part could be absorbed in a redefinition of the last term.

The most general solution to the equation

$$[x_a, h_b] - [x_b, h_a] - i\hbar h_c C^c_{ab} = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

is given by $h_a = [x_a, f]$ where f is an arbitrary anti-hermitian matrix. We obtain thereby $n^2 - 1$ linearly independent solutions to Equation (3.2) with

$$P^{cd}_{(1)ab} = 0, \quad F^c_{(1)ab} = 0, \quad K_{(1)ab} = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

These solutions correspond to the trivial redefinition of the generators x_a which leave invariant the commutation relations (2.14). The most general such operation is given by the map $x_a \mapsto u^{-1}x_a u$ with u a unitary matrix. In the linear approximation $u \simeq 1 + f$. The commutative limit suggests the Ansatz $h_a = hx_a$ with h an arbitrary element of M_n . Equation (3.2) simplifies to the equation

$$x_{[a}hx_{b]} + 2x_c x_d P_{(1)ab}^{cd} - i\hbar x_c F_{(1)ab}^c + \hbar^2 K_{(1)ab} = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

with $P_{(1)ab}^{cd}$ symmetric in the first two indices. We have been unable to find interesting explicit solutions to this equation.

Some solutions to (3.2) for h_a can be found by inspection. A trivial ‘monopole’ solution, with the $h_a = f_a$ all proportional to the unit matrix, is given by

$$P_{(1)ab}^{cd} = 0, \quad F_{(1)ab}^c = 0, \quad \hbar K_{(1)ab} = i f_c C_{ab}^c. \quad (3.6)$$

A ‘dipole’ solution of the form $h_a = f_{ab}x^b$ is given by

$$P_{(1)ab}^{cd} = 0, \quad F_{(1)abc} = C_{a[b}^d f_{c]d} - C_{bc}^d f_{da}, \quad K_{(1)ab} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

A ‘quadrupole’ solution of the form $h_a = f_{abc}x^b x^c$ can also be found with f_{abc} real and symmetric and trace-free in the last two indices. It is given by

$$P_{(1)ab}^{cd} = \frac{1}{2} i \hbar f_{[a}^{e(c} C_{b]e}^{d)}, \quad F_{(1)ab}^c = 0, \quad K_{(1)ab} = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Since C_{abc} is completely antisymmetric $P_{(1)ab}^{cd}$ is trace-free in the first two indices. From the perturbed version of Equation (2.8) we see that since P'^{cd}_{ab} is not antisymmetric in the first two indices the θ'^a do not anticommute. From the perturbed version of (2.11) we see that the structure elements C'^a_{bc} are given by

$$C'^c_{ab} = C^c_{ab} + 4i\hbar^{-1} x_d P_{(1)ab}^{cd}. \quad (3.9)$$

We have defined the structure of the algebra in terms of solutions to the Equation (2.9). It might be also interesting to define it in terms of the commutation relations for the x'_a :

$$[x'_a, x'_b] = i\hbar x'_c F'^c_{ab} - 2x'_c x'_d (P'^{cd}_{ab} - P^{cd}_{ab}) - \hbar^2 K'_{ab} = 0.$$

In the linear approximation this becomes for the solution (3.8)

$$[x'_a, x'_b] = i\hbar x'_c C^c_{ab} - i\hbar x'_c x'_d f_{[a}^{e(c} C_{b]e}^{d)}. \quad (3.10)$$

The x'_a are a new set of generators of the matrix algebra which satisfy different commutation relations. The differential calculus is defined in terms of the corresponding derivations e'_a . In the commutative limit the commutation relations (3.10) induce a Poisson structure

$$\{x'_a, x'_b\} = x'_c C^c_{ab} - x'_c x'_d f_{[a}^{e(c} C_{b]e}^{d)}. \quad (3.11)$$

on the surface. The x'_a designate here coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It is interesting to note that although the commutation relations (3.10) do not in principle fix the noncommutative differential calculus, the limiting Poisson structure (3.11) depends on the differential structure of Σ . The coordinate transformation $x_a \mapsto x'_a$ is not a symplectomorphism. It was shown some time ago that symplectomorphisms are limits of transformations of the form (3.3); this was one of the results of the authors quoted below in Section 5. We refer to Madore (1995) for a recent discussion.

The condition (2.9) is a necessary condition for the existence of the dual basis θ^a . To show that effectively the θ'^a exist we must construct them explicitly. We write

$$\theta'^a = \theta^a + \theta_{(1)}^a.$$

Then from the perturbed version of (2.3) we find the relation

$$\theta_{(1)}^a(e_b) + \theta^a(e_{(1)b}) = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

for the perturbation $\theta_{(1)}^a$. We write this as

$$i\bar{k}\theta_{(1)}^a(e_b) = C^a_{cd}x^c[h_b, x^d] + i\bar{k}^{-1}x_c[h_b, x^c]x^a. \quad (3.13)$$

We shall find below that the f_{abc} must be completely symmetric in all indices. In this case we find that

$$\theta_{(1)}^a = -(2 - \frac{\bar{k}^2}{r^4})f^a_{bc}x^b\theta^c - r^{-2}h_b x^a\theta^b + i\bar{k}r^{-2}C^a_{bc}f^b_{de}x^d x^c\theta^e. \quad (3.14)$$

We can write therefore

$$\theta'^a = (\delta_b^a + \Lambda_b^a)\theta^b \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\Lambda_b^a = -(2 - \frac{\bar{k}^2}{r^4})f^a_{bc}x^c - r^{-2}h_b x^a + i\bar{k}r^{-2}C^a_{cd}f^c_{eb}x^e x^d. \quad (3.16)$$

It must be emphasized that there are two distinct differential calculi and two distinct modules of 1-forms. In $\Omega^1(M_n)$ the θ^a are the preferred basis which commute with the elements of the algebra. The θ'^a satisfy therefore from (3.15) the commutation relations

$$[\theta'^a, f] = [\Lambda_b^a, f]\theta^b \neq 0.$$

In $\Omega^1(M_n)$ the θ'^a are the preferred basis which commute with the elements of the algebra. The θ^a satisfy therefore from (3.15) the commutation relations

$$[\theta^a, f] = -[\Lambda_b^a, f]\theta^b \neq 0.$$

Since the θ^a are defined on the derivations e_a and the θ'^a are defined on the derivations e'_a , to compare the two we must extend them both to the union of the two sets of derivations. This can be done since the differential dx^a can be defined on an arbitrary derivation X by the formula $dx^a(X) = Xx^a$. On the extended set neither basis commutes with the elements of the algebra. We recall that the vector space of all derivations of M_n is of dimension $n^2 - 1$ and the modules of 1-forms we consider here are defined on subspaces thereof of dimension 3.

In the commutative limit both the differential calculi defined above tend to the de Rham differential calculus on the U_1 -bundle $\mathcal{P}$ over Σ . In this limit $\Lambda_b^a \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}_b^a$ where

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_b^a = -2f^a_{bc}x^c - r^{-2}h_b x^a = -\partial_b h^a - r^{-2}h_b x^a \quad (3.17)$$

is a change of basis between two moving frames on $\mathcal{P}$. The sphere S^2 is defined by the relation $g_{ab}x^a x^b - r^2 = 0$ on the ordinary euclidian coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^3$. We have therefore (2.20) with

$$\pi_a^\alpha = \delta_a^\alpha - r^{-2}x^\alpha x_a$$

and (2.21) follows from (3.17). One verifies that $\pi_a^\alpha \theta^a$ is, by restriction to $\Omega^1(S^2)$, a (redundent) moving frame for the metric on the sphere. The perturbed metric is given therefore by (2.21).

The quadrupole perturbation is rather special. However it can be combined with a redefinition of the generators given by a nonperturbative matrix u . In this way an arbitrarily large number of perturbative solutions to the Equation (2.9) can be found. It is easy to localize the obstruction to higher-order multipole perturbations in the linear approximation. The third term in Equation (3.2) is only quadratic in the variables and cannot therefore combine with higher-order polynomials appearing in the first two terms. Such a polynomial would appear only in the next approximation with terms of the form $x_{(c}h_{d)}P_{(1)ab}^{cd}$.

4 Linear connections

A linear connection is defined (Dubois-Violette *et al.* 1995, 1996) as a couple (D, σ) where D is a covariant derivative and σ is a generalized permutation. The unique torsion-free metric connection on the fuzzy sphere, or rather the fuzzy U_1 -bundle over it, is given by

$$D\theta^a = -\frac{1}{2}C_{bc}^a\theta^b \otimes \theta^c, \quad \sigma(\theta^a \otimes \theta^b) = \theta^b \otimes \theta^a. \quad (4.1)$$

The metric on the fuzzy bundle can be defined by the condition

$$g(\theta^a \otimes \theta^b) = g^{ab} \quad (4.2)$$

with g^{ab} the components of the euclidean metric. This defines a metric on the surface in the commutative limit.

The choice of metric is strongly restricted by the choice of differential calculus. If we introduce a new basis $\bar{\theta}^a$ of $\Omega^1(M_n)$ defined by $\bar{\theta}^a = (\delta_b^a + \Lambda_b^a)\theta^b$ with the Λ_b^a arbitrary elements of M_n then of course the coefficients of the metric change accordingly just as in the commutative case. The difference lies in the fact that we cannot introduce a new metric $\bar{g}$ given by $\bar{g}(\bar{\theta}^a \otimes \bar{\theta}^b) = g^{ab}$. Unless the Λ_b^a lie in the center of the algebra this equation would be inconsistent since $f g^{ab} = g^{ab} f$ but in general

$$f \bar{g}(\bar{\theta}^a \otimes \bar{\theta}^b) = \bar{g}(f \bar{\theta}^a \otimes \bar{\theta}^b) \neq \bar{g}(\bar{\theta}^a \otimes \bar{\theta}^b f) = \bar{g}(\bar{\theta}^a \otimes \bar{\theta}^b) f.$$

A metric on the new calculus can be defined by the condition

$$g(\theta'^a \otimes \theta'^b) = g^{ab}. \quad (4.3)$$

Since they lie in different differential calculi we must consider $\theta'^a \neq \bar{\theta}^a$ if Λ_b^a is given by (3.16).

The perturbed linear connection associated to the perturbed differential calculus is defined by the covariant derivative

$$D\theta'^a = -\omega'^a_{bc}\theta'^b \otimes \theta'^c \quad (4.4)$$

and a generalized perturbation

$$\sigma'(\theta'^a \otimes \theta'^b) = S'^{ab}_{cd}\theta'^c \otimes \theta'^d.$$

We write as above

$$S'^{ab}_{cd} = \delta_c^b \delta_d^a + S_{(1)cd}^{ab}$$

and expand also the coefficients of the maps τ' and χ' introduced in Madore & Mourad (1996):

$$T'^{ab}_{cd} = 2\delta_c^a \delta_d^b + T_{(1)cd}^{ab}, \quad \chi'^a_{bc} = \chi_{(1)bc}^a.$$

Then the most general expression for $\omega'^a{}_{bc}$ is given by

$$\omega'^a{}_{bc} = \frac{1}{2}C^a{}_{bc} + \chi^a{}_{(1)bc} - i\bar{k}^{-1}x_d S^a d_{(1)bc} \quad (4.5)$$

and the most general expression for $S^a b_{(1)cd}$ is given by

$$S^a b_{(1)cd} = \frac{1}{2}T^a b_{(1)(cd)} - 2P^a b_{(1)cd}. \quad (4.6)$$

Comparing (4.5) with (3.9) we see that the condition

$$\omega'^a{}_{[bc]} = C'^a{}_{bc}$$

that the connection be torsion-free is indeed respected. The most ‘natural’ generalized permutation is given by $\tau = 2$. We have then

$$\sigma(\theta'^a \otimes \theta'^b) = \theta'^b \otimes \theta'^a - 2P^a b_{(1)cd} \theta'^c \otimes \theta'^d. \quad (4.7)$$

A straightforward generalization of the definition of metric compatibility (Dubois-Violette *et al.* 1995, Madore & Mourad 1996) can be written in the form

$$\omega'^a{}_{bc} + \omega'^e{}_{cd} S'^a d_{be} = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

A short calculations yields that the left-hand side of this equation is given by

$$\omega'^a{}_{bc} + \omega'^e{}_{cd} S'^a d_{be} = -i\bar{k}^{-1}x_d(S^a d_{(1)bc} + S^a_{(1)c}{}^d{}_b{}^a) + (\chi^a{}_{(1)bc} + \chi^a{}_{(1)cb} + \frac{1}{2}C^e{}_{cd} S^a d_{(1)be}). \quad (4.9)$$

Provided the constraint

$$C^e{}_{cd} S^a d_{(1)be} = C^{ea}{}_d S^a_{(1)c}{}^d{}_b{}^a \quad (4.10)$$

is satisfied the condition that the last term of (4.9) vanish yields the value

$$\chi^a{}_{(1)bc} = -\frac{1}{4}(C^e{}_{cd} S^a d_{(1)be} + C^{ea}{}_d S^a_{(1)b}{}^d{}_c{}^e - C^e{}_{bd} S^a_{(1)c}{}^d{}_e) \quad (4.11)$$

for the coefficients of χ . The condition of metric compatibility becomes then

$$S^a d_{(1)bc} + S^a_{(1)c}{}^d{}_b{}^a = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

The Equations (4.10) and (4.12) are to be considered as equations for the coefficients of τ . There is a solution to these two equations, given by

$$T^a d_{(1)(bc)} = -4P^a_{(1)(b}{}^d{}_c) \quad (4.13)$$

provided that f_{abc} is completely symmetric in all indices. The expression (4.11) for the coefficients of χ simplifies to

$$\chi^a{}_{(1)bc} = 2f^a{}_{bc}. \quad (4.14)$$

The corresponding coefficients of σ are given by

$$S^a d_{(1)bc} = -2(P^a d_{(1)bc} - P^a_{(1)b}{}^d{}_c{}^a + P^a_{(1)c}{}^d{}_b{}^a). \quad (4.15)$$

There are perhaps other solutions. The final values for the coefficients of the connection are found by using (4.14) and (4.15) in (4.5). The resulting expression is formally the same in the commutative limit with the matrices x^a replaced by coordinates x^a .

Using (4.14) and (4.15) we see that the coefficients (4.5) of the perturbed linear connection can be written in the form

$$\omega'^a{}_{bc} = \frac{1}{2}C^a{}_{bc} + 2f^a{}_{bc} + 2x'_d(f_b{}^{de}C^a{}_{ce} + f_b{}^{ae}C^d{}_{ce} - f_{bc}{}^eC^{da}{}_e). \quad (4.16)$$

We have constructed a linear connection on a bundle over the surface. It will yield upon reduction *à la* Kaluza-Klein a linear connection, an electromagnetic potential and a scalar field on the surface itself. From (2.16) we see that the electromagnetic field will have unit magnetic charge. We see here also that the electromagnetic potential can be identified with the component of the frame normal to the surface.

5 Discussion

One can formally write a curvature associated to (D', σ') but the definition of curvature is not satisfactory. The main interest of curvature in the case of a smooth manifold V is the fact that it is local. Riemann curvature can be defined as a map

$$\Omega^1(\mathcal{C}(V)) \xrightarrow{R} \Omega^2(\mathcal{C}(V)) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(V)} \Omega^1(\mathcal{C}(V)).$$

If $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{C}(V))$ then $R(\xi)$ is local; at a given point it depends only on the value of ξ at that point. This can be expressed as a bilinearity condition; the above map is a $\mathcal{C}(V)$ -bimodule map. If $f \in \mathcal{C}(V)$ then

$$fR(\xi) = R(f\xi), \quad R(\xi f) = R(\xi)f.$$

In the noncommutative case bilinearity is the natural (and only possible) expression of locality. It has not yet been possible to enforce it in a satisfactory manner. We refer to Dubois-Violette *et al.* (1996) for a recent discussion.

If we compare the expression (3.11) for the induced Poisson structure with the expression (4.16) for the linear connection we see that there is definitely a connection between the two. Further evidence of this is given in another article (Madore 1997). The exact extent to which one is determined by the other is not clear.

Although we are primarily interested in the matrix version of surfaces as an model of an eventual noncommutative theory of gravity they have a certain interest in other, closely related, domains of physics. Without the differential calculus the fuzzy sphere is basically just an approximation to a classical spin r by a quantum spin r given by (2.15) with $\hbar$ in lieu of $\hbar$. It has been extended in various directions under various names and for various reasons by Berezin (1975), de Wit *et al.* (1988), Hoppe (1989), Fairlie *et al.* (1989), Floratos *et al.* (1989), Cahen *et al.* (1990) and Bordemann *et al.* (1991). In order to explain the finite entropy of a black hole it has been conjectured, for example by 't Hooft (1996), that the horizon has a structure of a fuzzy 2-sphere since the latter has a finite number of 'points' and yet has an SO_3 -invariant geometry. Matrix descriptions of general p -dimensional hypersurfaces were first proposed in connection with Dirichlet p -branes and their relation to M -theory (Banks *et al.* 1996). Attempts to endow them with a smooth differential structure has been made (Madore 1996, Grosse *et al.* 1997a).

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank J. Gratus and L.A. Saeger for interesting conversations.

References

- Banks T., Fishler W., Shenker S.H., Susskind L. 1996, *M Theory as a Matrix Model: a Conjecture*, hep-th/9610043.
- Bordemann M., Hoppe J., Schaller P., Schlichenmaier M. 1991, *gl(∞) and Geometric Quantization*, Commun. Math. Phys. **138** 209.
- Berezin F. 1975, *General Concept of Quantization*, Commun. Math. Phys. **40** 153.
- Cahen M., Gutt S., Rawnsley J. 1990, *Quantization of Kähler Manifolds I*, Jour. of Geom. and Phys. **7** 45.

- Carow-Watamura U., Watamura S. 1997, *Chirality and Dirac Operator on Noncommutative Sphere*, Commun. Math. Phys. **183** 365.
- Connes A. 1994, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press.
- de Wit B., Hoppe J., Nicolai H. 1988, *On the Quantum Mechanics of Supermembranes*, Nucl. Phys. **B305** 545.
- Dimakis A., Madore J. 1996, *Differential Calculi and Linear Connections*, J. Math. Phys. **37** 4647.
- Dubois-Violette M. 1988, *Dérivations et calcul différentiel non-commutatif*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **307** Série I 403.
- Dubois-Violette M., Kerner R., Madore J. 1989, *Gauge bosons in a noncommutative geometry*, Phys. Lett. **B217** 485; *Classical bosons in a noncommutative geometry*, Class. Quant. Grav. **6** 1709.
- Dubois-Violette M., Madore J., Masson T., Mourad J. 1995, *Linear Connections on the Quantum Plane*, Lett. Math. Phys. **35** 351.
- 1996, *On Curvature in Noncommutative Geometry*, J. Math. Phys. **37** 4089.
- Fairlie D.B., Fletcher P., Zachos C.K. 1989, *Trigonometric Structure Constants for New Infinite Algebras*, Phys. Lett. **B218** 203.
- Floratos E.G., Iliopoulos J., Tiktopoulos G. 1989, *A Note on $SU(\infty)$ Classical Yang-Mills Theories*, Phys. Lett. **B217** 285.
- Grosse H., Madore J. 1992, *A Noncommutative Version of the Schwinger Model*, Phys. Lett. **B283** 218.
- Grosse H., Prešnajder P. 1993, *The Construction of Noncommutative Manifolds Using Coherent States*, Lett. in Math. Phys. **28** 239.
- Grosse H., Klimčík C., Prešnajder P. 1997a, *On 4D Field Theory in Non-Commutative Geometry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **180** 429.
- Grosse H., Klimčík C., Prešnajder P. 1997b, *Field Theory on a Supersymmetric Lattice*, Commun. Math. Phys. **185** 155.
- Hoppe J. 1989, *Diffeomorphism groups, Quantization and $SU(\infty)$* , Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A4** 5235.
- Madore J. 1992, *The Fuzzy Sphere*, Class. Quant. Grav. **9** 69.
- 1995, *An Introduction to Noncommutative Differential Geometry and its Physical Applications*, Cambridge University Press.
- Madore J. 1996, *Linear Connections on Fuzzy Manifolds*, Class. Quant. Grav. **13** 2109.
- Madore J. 1997, *On Poisson Structure and Curvature* Preprint LPTHE Orsay 97/25, gr-qc/9705083.
- Madore J., Mourad J. 1996, *Quantum Space-time and Classical Gravity*, Preprint, LPTHE Orsay 96/56, gr-qc/9607060.
- Madore J., Masson T., Mourad J. 1995, *Linear Connections on Matrix Geometries*, Class. Quant. Grav. **12** 1429.
- Mourad J. 1995, *Linear Connections in Non-Commutative Geometry*, Class. Quant. Grav. **12** 965.
- 't Hooft G. 1996, *Quantization of point particles in (2+1)-dimensional gravity and spacetime discreteness*, Class. Quant. Grav. **13** 1023.